arXiv:0905.2868v3 [math.DG] 23 Oct 2009

REMARKS ON NON-COMPACT GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

STEFANO PIGOLA, MICHELE RIMOLDI, AND ALBERTO G. SETTI

Abstract. In this paper we show how techniques coming from stochastic analysis, such as stochastic completeness (in the form of the weak maximum principle at infinity), parabolicity and L^p-Liouville type results for the weighted Laplacian associated to the potential may be used to obtain triviality, rigidity results, and scalar curvature estimates for gradient Ricci solitons under L^p conditions on the relevant quantities.

Introduction

Let $(M, \langle , \rangle)$ be a Riemannian manifold. A Ricci soliton structure on M is the choice of a smooth vector field X (if any) satisfying the soliton equation

$$Ric + \frac{1}{2} L_X \langle , \rangle = \lambda \langle , \rangle , \tag{1}$$

for some constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, Ric denotes the Ricci curvature of M and L_X stands for the Lie derivative in the direction X. The Ricci soliton $(M, \langle , \rangle , X)$ is said to be shrinking, steady or expansive according to whether the coefficient λ appearing in equation (1) satisfies $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda < 0$.

In the special case where $X = \nabla f$ for some smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$, we say that $(M, \langle , \rangle , \nabla f)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with potential f. In this situation, the soliton equation reads

$$Ric + \operatorname{Hess}(f) = \lambda \langle , \rangle . \tag{2}$$

Clearly, equations (1) and (2) can be considered as perturbations of the Einstein equation

$$Ric = \lambda \langle , \rangle , \tag{3}$$

and reduce to this latter in case X is a Killing vector field. In particular, if $X = 0$, we call the underlying Einstein manifold a trivial Ricci soliton.

Date: October 23, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21.

Key words and phrases. Ricci solitons, triviality, scalar curvature, maximum principles, Liouville-type theorems.

In this note we will focus our attention on geodesically complete, gradient Ricci solitons. Here are some typical examples, [11].

Example. The standard Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^m, \langle , \rangle , \nabla f)$ with

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} A |x|^2 + \langle x, B \rangle + C,$$

for arbitrary $A \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that f is the essentially unique solution of the equation $\mathrm{Hess}(f) = A \langle , \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$. This follows by integrating on $[0, |x|]$ the equation

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} (f(vs)) = A,$$

with $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $|v| = 1$. In fact, a kind of converse also holds; [19], [9], [11]. In the Appendix we will provide a straight-forward proof.

Theorem 1. *Let $(M, \langle , \rangle)$ be a complete manifold. Suppose that there exists a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Hess$(f) = \lambda \langle , \rangle$, for some constant $\lambda \neq 0$. Then M is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^m$.*

Example. The Riemannian product

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^m \times N^k, \langle , \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \langle , \rangle_{N^k}, \nabla f\right) \tag{4}$$

where $\left(N^k, (,)\right)$ is any k-dimensional Einstein manifold with Ricci curvature $\lambda \neq 0$, and $f(t, x) : \mathbb{R}^m \times N^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$f(x, p) = \frac{\lambda}{2} |x|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \langle x, B \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} + C, \tag{5}$$

with $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

As generalizations of Einstein manifolds, Ricci solitons enjoy some rigidity properties, which can take the form of classification (metric rigidity), or alternatively, triviality of the soliton structure (soliton rigidity). For instances of the former, see e.g. the recent far-reaching paper [23] and references therein.

As for the latter, it has been known for some time that compact, expanding Ricci solitons are necessarily trivial, [3]. Our first main result, Theorem 2 below, extends this conclusion to the non-compact setting up to imposing suitable integrability conditions on the potential function.

Indeed, the aim of this paper is two-fold. On the one hand we obtain triviality, rigidity results, and scalar curvature estimates for gradient Ricci solitons under L^p conditions on the relevant quantities that extend and generalize, often in a significant way, previous results.

On the other hand, we show how techniques coming from stochastic analysis, such as stochastic completeness, in the form of the weak maximum principle at infinity, parabolicity and L^p-Liouville type results for the weighted Laplacian associated to the potential f, are natural in the investigations of (gradient) Ricci solitons, and lead to elegant proofs of the above mentioned results.

Theorem 2. *A complete, expanding, gradient Ricci soliton* $(M, \langle , \rangle , \nabla f)$ *is trivial provided* $|\nabla f| \in L^p \left(M, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$, *for some* $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$.

As a matter of fact, the above statement encloses three different results according to the assumption that $p = +\infty$, $1 < p < +\infty$ and $p = 1$. These will be obtained using different arguments. The L^∞ situation will be dealt with using a form of the weak maximum principle at infinity for diffusion operators, [16], which makes an essential use of a volume growth estimate for weighted manifolds, [21].

This method allows, for instance, to obtain the following estimate for the scalar curvature, which improves results in [11] where it is assumed that the scalar curvature is either constant or bounded.

Theorem 3. *Let* $(M, \langle , \rangle, \nabla f)$ *be a geodesically complete gradient Ricci soliton with scalar curvature* S *and let* $S_* = \inf_M S$. *If* M *is expanding then* $m\lambda \leq S_* \leq 0$*; if* M *is shrinking then* $0 \leq S_* \leq m\lambda$. *Moreover,* $S_* < m\lambda$ *unless the soliton is trivial and* M *is compact Einstein, and* $S(x) > 0$ *on* M *unless* $S(x) \equiv 0$ *on* M, *and* M *is isometric to* $\mathbb{R}^m$.

On the other hand, the $L^{1<p<\infty}$ and the L^1 results will rely on suitable Liouville-properties of the diffusion operators, [15], [14], [16].

Further remarks on L^1-Liouville type theorems will be given in a final section. As an application we will deduce interesting rigidity results for Ricci solitons with integrable scalar curvature that should be compared with [11], [12]. Note that, combining Lemma 2.3 in [2] with a volume estimate for weighted manifolds, [8], [21], it follows that the scalar curvature of a shrinking Ricci soliton is p-integrable, for every $p > 0$. We are grateful to M. Fernández-López for pointing out this to us. In the expanding case we shall prove the next result. It shows that some rigidity at the end-point case $S_* = 0$ in Theorem 3 occurs also for expanders.

Theorem 4. *Let* $(M, \langle , \rangle , \nabla f)$ *be a complete, expanding, Ricci soliton. Let* S *be the scalar curvature of* M. *If* $S \geq 0$ *and* $S \in L^1 \left(M, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ *then* M *is isometric to the standard Euclidean space.*

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank M. Fernández-López for a careful reading of a preliminary version of the paper and for valuable comments that led, in particular, to a substantial improvement in the case $p = 1$ of Theorem 2.

1. Basic equations

The geometric quantities related to gradient Ricci solitons satisfy a number of differential identities that have been explored in several papers. We are interested in the elliptic point of view, therefore we limit ourselves to quoting the interesting papers [3] and [11], [12], which are particularly relevant to our investigation. Following the notation introduced in [11], [12], we set

$$\Delta_f u = e^f \operatorname{div}\left(e^{-f}\nabla u\right). \tag{6}$$

In the next sections we will use the following Bochner-type identities.

Lemma 5. *Let $(M, \langle , \rangle, \nabla f)$ be a gradient Ricci soliton. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta\left|\nabla f\right|^2 = \left|\operatorname{Hess}(f)\right|^2 - Ric\left(\nabla f, \nabla f\right) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_f\left|\nabla f\right|^2 = \left|\operatorname{Hess}(f)\right|^2 - \lambda\left|\nabla f\right|^2, \tag{8}$$

where λ is defined in (2).

In particular, combining Lemma 5 with the Kato inequality

$$\left|\operatorname{Hess}(f)\right|^2 \geq \left|\nabla\left|\nabla f\right|\right|^2, \tag{9}$$

we deduce the next

Corollary 6. *Let $(M, \langle , \rangle, \nabla f)$ be a gradient Ricci soliton. Then, $|\nabla f| \in Lip_{loc}(M)$ satisfies*

$$\left|\nabla f\right|\Delta\left|\nabla f\right| \geq -Ric\left(\nabla f, \nabla f\right) \tag{10}$$

weakly on M and

$$\left|\nabla f\right|\Delta_f\left|\nabla f\right| \geq -\lambda\left|\nabla f\right|^2, \tag{11}$$

weakly on $\left(M, e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}\right)$.

Thus, not surprisingly, from the Bochner equation viewpoint, the vector field $X = \nabla f$ behaves like a Killing field. Therefore, the standard Bochner technique implies that if $(M, \langle , \rangle, \nabla f)$ is a compact Ricci soliton with $Ric \leq 0$ then f must be constant and, hence, M is Einstein. Similar conclusions can be obtained in the non-compact setting using global forms of the Stokes theorem. In fact, a little amount of positive Ricci curvature is also allowed as explained in [14].

We shall also use the next computations concerning the scalar curvature of a gradient Ricci soliton; [3], [11].

Theorem 7. *Let* $(M, \langle , \rangle , \nabla f)$ *be a gradient Ricci soliton with scalar curvature* S *and Ricci curvature* Ric*. Then*

$$\Delta_f S = \lambda S - |Ric|^2 . \tag{12}$$

2. TRIVIALITY OF EXPANDERS UNDER L^∞ CONDITIONS AND SCALAR CURVATURE ESTIMATES

It is known, [4], that a complete, shrinking Ricci soliton $(M, \langle , \rangle , X)$ satisfying $|X| \in L^\infty$ must be compact. In this section we show that, in case the soliton is gradient and expanding, the L^∞ condition implies triviality. To simplify the writings, having fixed a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$Ric_f = Ric + \mathrm{Hess}\,(f) \tag{13}$$

which is called the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor of the weighted manifold

$$\left(M, \langle , \rangle , e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol} \right) . \tag{14}$$

Thus, $(M, \langle , \rangle , \nabla f)$ is a Ricci soliton provided the corresponding weighted manifold has constant Ric_f-curvature, i.e.,

$$Ric_f \equiv \lambda, \tag{15}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $B_r(p)$ and $\partial B_r(p)$ denotes respectively the metric ball and sphere of $(M, \langle , \rangle)$ of radius $r > 0$ and centered at $p \in M$, we also set

$$\mathrm{vol}_f\left(B_r(p)\right) = \int_{B_r(p)} e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}, \qquad \mathrm{vol}_f\left(\partial B_r(p)\right) = \int_{\partial B_r(p)} e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}_{m-1},$$

where $d\mathrm{vol}_{m-1}$ stands for the $(m-1)$-Hausdorff measure. In the previous section, we have also introduced the second order, diffusion operator

$$\Delta_f u = e^f \,\mathrm{div}\left(e^{-f} \nabla u \right) , \tag{16}$$

which is formally self-adjoint in $L^2\left(M, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$. For the sake of convenience we call Δ_f the f-Laplacian.

In a way similar, but by no means equal, to the (Riemannian) non-weighted case $f = \text{const.}$, there are mutual relations between Ric_f-bounds, vol_f-growth properties of metric balls and the analysis and geometry of Δ_f. In view of our purposes, we shall limit ourselves to quoting the following two results. First, we recall a weighted-volume comparison established in [21], Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 8. *Let $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ be a geodesically complete weighted manifold. Suppose that*

$$Ric_f \geq \lambda, \tag{17}$$

for some constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, having fixed $R_0 > 0$, there are constants $A, B, C > 0$ such that, for every $r \geq R_0$,

$$\mathrm{vol}_f\left(B_r\right) \leq A + B \int_{R_0}^{r} e^{-\lambda t^2 + Ct} dt. \tag{18}$$

We recall that if $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ is a weighted manifold, we say that the weak maximum principle at infinity for Δ_f holds if given a C^2 function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\sup_M u = u^* < +\infty$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset M$ along which

$$(i)\ u\left(x_n\right) \geq u^* - \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad (ii)\ \Delta_f u\left(x_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}. \tag{19}$$

The next result states the validity of a weak form of the maximum principle at infinity for the f-Laplacian, under weighted volume growth conditions. It can be deduced from [16] Theorem 3.11, making minor modifications in the proofs of Lemma 3.13, Lemma 3.14, Theorem 3.15 and Corollary 3.16.

Theorem 9. *Let $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ be a geodesically complete weighted manifold satisfying the volume growth condition*

$$\frac{r}{\log \mathrm{vol}_f\left(B_r\right)} \notin L^1\left(+\infty\right). \tag{20}$$

Then, the weak maximum principle at infinity for the f-Laplacian holds on M.

Combining Theorems 8 and 9 immediately gives the following

Corollary 10. *Let $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, \nabla f\right)$ be a geodesically complete Ricci soliton which is either shrinking, steady or expanding. Then, the weak maximum principle at infinity for the f-Laplacian holds on M.*

We are now in the position to prove the first main result of the paper.

Theorem 11. *Let $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, \nabla f\right)$ be a geodesically complete, expanding Ricci soliton with $\sup_M |\nabla f| < +\infty$. Then the Ricci soliton is trivial.*

Proof. According to (8) the smooth function $|\nabla f|^2$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_f |\nabla f|^2 \geq -\lambda |\nabla f|^2 \geq 0. \tag{21}$$

Applying Corollary 10 we deduce that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset M$ such that,

$$|\nabla f|^2 \left(x_n\right) \geq \sup_M |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{1}{n}, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\Delta_f |\nabla f|^2 (x_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}. \tag{23}$$

Evaluating (21) along $\{x_n\}$ and taking the limit as $n \to +\infty$ we conclude

$$-\lambda \sup_M |\nabla f|^2 = 0,$$

proving that f is constant. □

The estimate on the scalar curvature in Theorem 3 follows now by combining Corollary 10 with the following "a-priori" estimate for weak solutions of semi-linear elliptic inequalities under volume assumptions. It is an adaptation of Theorem B in [17].

Theorem 12. *Let $\left(M, \langle , \rangle , e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ be a complete, weighted manifold. Let $a(x), b(x) \in C^0(M)$, set $a_-(x) = \max\{-a(x), 0\}$ and assume that*

$$\sup_M a_-(x) < +\infty$$

and

$$b(x) \geq \frac{1}{Q(r(x))} \text{ on } M,$$

for some positive, non-decreasing function $Q(t)$ such that $Q(t) = o\left(t^2\right)$, as $t \to +\infty$. Assume furthermore that, for some $H > 0$,

$$\frac{a_-(x)}{b(x)} \leq H, \text{ on } M.$$

Let $u \in Lip_{loc}(M)$ be a non-negative solution of

$$\Delta_f u \geq a(x) u + b(x) u^\sigma, \tag{24}$$

weakly on $\left(M, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$, with $\sigma > 1$. If

$$\liminf_{r \to +\infty} \frac{Q(r) \log \mathrm{vol}_f (B_r)}{r^2} < +\infty, \tag{25}$$

then

$$u(x) \leq H^{\frac{1}{\sigma - 1}}, \text{ on } M.$$

Proof. We have only to verify that the integral inequality stated in Lemma 1.5 on page 1309 of [17] holds with respect to the weighted measure $e^{-f} d$vol. This in turn can be deduced exactly as in [17] provided (the weighted version of) inequality (1.21) on page 1310 is satisfied. Now, by assumption, for every compactly supported $\rho \in W^{1,2}_{loc}\left(M, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$, $\rho \geq 0$, we have

$$-\int \langle \nabla u, \nabla \rho \rangle e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol} \geq \int (a u \rho + b u^\sigma \rho) e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}.$$

Therefore, the desired inequality (1.21) follows by taking

$$\rho = \lambda\left(u\right)\psi^{2(\alpha+\sigma-1)}u^{\alpha-1}$$

with $\alpha \geq 2$. □

Using Theorem 8 we deduce the validity of the next

Corollary 13. *Let* $\left(M, \langle , \rangle, \nabla f\right)$ *be a complete Ricci soliton and let* $u \in Lip_{loc}\left(M\right)$ *be a non-negative weak solution of*

$$\Delta_f u \geq au + bu^{\sigma},$$

for some constants $a \in \mathbb{R}$*,* $b > 0$ *and* $\sigma > 1$*. Then*

$$u\left(x\right)^{\sigma-1} \leq \frac{\max\left\{-a, 0\right\}}{b}.$$

We are now in the position to give the

Proof of Theorem 3. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $|\mathrm{Ric}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{m}S^2$ and inserting in (12) we deduce that

$$\Delta_f S \leq \lambda S - \frac{1}{m}S^2. \tag{26}$$

In follows that $S_-\left(x\right) = \max\left\{-S\left(x\right), 0\right\}$ is a weak solution of

$$\Delta_f S_- \geq \lambda S_- + \frac{1}{m}S_-^2.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 13, S_- is bounded from above or, equivalently, $S_* = \inf_M S > -\infty$ (for this conclusion, see also [24]). Applying Corollary 10 produces a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\Delta_f S(x_n) \geq -1/n$ and $S(x_n) \to S_*$, and taking the liminf in (26) along $\{x_n\}$ shows that $\lambda S_* - S_*^2/m \geq 0$. Thus, if $\lambda < 0$, then $m\lambda \leq S_* \leq 0$, while, if $\lambda > 0$, then $0 \leq S_* \leq m\lambda$.

Assume now that $S_* = \lambda m > 0$. Then $S \geq S_* = m\lambda$ and $\lambda S - \frac{1}{m}S^2 \leq 0$. It follows from (26) that $S > 0$ satisfies $\Delta_f S \leq 0$. By Theorem 22 a supersolution of $\triangle_f$ which is bounded below is constant. Hence, $S = S_* = m\lambda$ is a constant, and $|\mathrm{Ric}|^2 = \frac{1}{m}S^2$. By the equality case in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we deduce that $\mathrm{Ric} = \lambda\langle\, , \rangle$ with $\lambda > 0$ and M is compact by Myers' Theorem. By (2) $\mathrm{Hess}(f) = 0$, and in particular f is a harmonic function on M compact, and therefore it is constant.

Finally, since $S(x) \geq 0$, by the maximum principle (see [5], p. 35), either $S(x) > 0$ on M or $S(x) \equiv 0$. In the latter case it follows from (12) that $Ric \equiv 0$ and then, by soliton equation, we conclude that f is a (necessarily non trivial) solution of

$$\mathrm{Hess}\left(f\right) = \lambda\left\langle , \right\rangle.$$

By Theorem 1 stated in the Introduction, $(M, \langle\, , \rangle)$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^m$. □

3. TRIVIALITY OF EXPANDERS UNDER $L^{1<p<\infty}$ CONDITIONS

It is well known that a non-negative, L^p-subharmonic function, $1 < p < +\infty$, on a complete Riemannian manifold must be constant, [22]. This classical Liouville type theorem has been extended in various directions to both linear and non-linear operators. Here we recall the following version for the f-Laplacian established in [15], Theorem 1.1. See also [14]. Recently, somewhat less general forms of this result have been independently rediscovered in [9], [11], [12].

Theorem 14. *Let* $\left(M, \langle , \rangle, e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ *be a geodesically complete weighted manifold. Assume that* $u \in Lip_{loc}(M)$ *satisfy*

$$u\Delta_f u \geq 0, \text{ weakly on } (M, e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}). \tag{27}$$

If, for some $p > 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^p \, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}_{m-1}} \notin L^1(+\infty), \tag{28}$$

then u *is constant.*

Remark 15. Observe that if $u \in L^p\left(M, e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ then condition (28) is satisfied. Note also that no sign condition is required on u. Moreover, if the locally lipschitz function u satisfies both $\Delta_f u \geq 0$ and the non-integrability condition (28) then, applying Theorem 14 to $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$, gives that either u is constant or $u \leq 0$.

Theorem 16. *Let* $(M, \langle , \rangle, \nabla f)$ *be a geodesically complete, expanding Ricci soliton. If*

$$\frac{1}{\int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla f|^p \, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}_{m-1}} \notin L^1(+\infty),$$

for some $p > 1$ *then the soliton is trivial.*

Proof. Recall from equation (11) that

$$|\nabla f| \, \Delta_f \, |\nabla f| \geq -\lambda \, |\nabla f|^2 \geq 0, \text{ weakly on } (M, e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}).$$

An application of Theorem 14 gives that $|\nabla f|$ is constant. Using this information into (8) we conclude that $|\nabla f| = 0$ and f is a constant function. □

4. TRIVIALITY OF EXPANDERS UNDER L^1 CONDITIONS

The following result has been recently obtained in [14], Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 17. *Let* $\left(M,\langle,\rangle,e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ *be a geodesically complete weighted manifold. Let* $0\leq u\in Lip_{loc}(M)$ *be a weak solution of* $\Delta_f u\geq 0$ *satisfying*

$$\text{(i)}\ \int_{\partial B_r} ue^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}_{m-1}(x)=O\left(\frac{1}{r\log^{\alpha}r}\right),\qquad \text{(ii)}\ u(x)=O\left(e^{\beta r(x)^2}\right),$$

as $r(x)\to+\infty$*, for some constants* $\alpha,\beta>0$*. Then* u *is constant.*

Note that although Theorem 4.3 is stated with $\beta=1$ in condition (ii), the proof shows that the more general version stated above holds.

In particular, applying the theorem to the positive part $u_+=\max\{u,0\}$ of the given solution u yields the following

Corollary 18. *Let* $\left(M,\langle,\rangle,e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ *be a geodesically complete weighted manifold. If* $u\in Lip_{loc}(M)\cap L^1\left(M,e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ *is a solution of* $\Delta_f u\geq 0$ *satisfying* $u(x)\leq\alpha e^{\beta r(x)^2}$*, for some constants* $\alpha,\beta>0$*, then either* u *is constant or* $u\leq 0$*.*

In order to apply Theorem 17 and conclude triviality of expanders under solely L^1 conditions we also need the following estimate from [24].

Theorem 19. *Let* $(M,\langle,\rangle,\nabla f)$ *be a complete, expanding Ricci soliton. Then, having fixed a reference origin* $o\in M$*, there exists a constant* $c>0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&(1)\ f(x)\leq c(1+r(x)^2),\\&(2)\ |\nabla f|\leq c(1+r(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 20. Note that, according to the scalar curvature estimates of Theorem 3, the above constant $c>0$ can be expressed in terms of the soliton constant $\lambda<0$ and the dimension of M.

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 17 and 19, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 16, we get the next

Theorem 21. *Let* $(M,\langle,\rangle,\nabla f)$ *be a geodesically complete, expanding Ricci soliton. If*

$$\int_{\partial B_r}|\nabla f|\,e^{-f}d\mathrm{vol}_{m-1}=O\left(\frac{1}{r\log^{\alpha}r}\right),\tag{29}$$

for some positive constants α,β*, and for* $r(x)$ *sufficiently large, then the soliton is trivial.*

5. More on L^1-Liouville theorems and some rigidity results

Following classical terminology in linear potential theory we say that a weighted Riemannian manifold $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ is f-parabolic if every solution of $\Delta_f u \geq 0$ satisfying $u^* = \sup_M u < +\infty$ must be constant. Equivalently, $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ is non-parabolic if and only if Δ_f possesses a positive, minimal Green kernel $G_f(x, y)$. It can be shown that a sufficient condition for $\left(M, \langle,\rangle, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ to be parabolic is that M is geodesically complete and

$$\mathrm{vol}_f\left(\partial B_r\right)^{-1} \notin L^1(+\infty). \tag{30}$$

All these facts can be easily established adapting to the diffusion operator Δ_f standard proofs for the Laplace-Beltrami operator; [7], [18]. In particular, according to Theorem 8 we have

Theorem 22. *A complete, gradient shrinking Ricci soliton* $(M, \langle,\rangle, \nabla f)$ *is* f*-parabolic.*

We also point out the following consequence of Theorem 22, Theorem 14 and Remark 15.

Corollary 23. *Let* $(M, \langle,\rangle, \nabla f)$ *be a complete, gradient, shrinking Ricci soliton. If* $u \in Lip_{loc}(M)$ *satisfies* $\Delta_f u \geq 0$ *and* $u \in L^p\left(M, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$*, for some* $1 < p < +\infty$*, then* u *is constant.*

It can be shown that f-parabolicity implies the validity of the weak maximum principle at infinity for the operator Δ_f. This follows in a way similar to the case $f = 0$, noting that the weak maximum principle is equivalent to the property that if u is a non-negative bounded function satisfying $\Delta_f u \geq \mu u$ for some $\mu > 0$ then $u \equiv 0$ (see [16], Theorem 3.11).

In a different direction, the diffusion operator Δ_f has a minimal, positive heat kernel $p_f(t, x, y)$ and the validity of the weak maximum principle at infinity is also equivalent to the property

$$\int_M p_f(t, x, y)\, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}(y) = 1, \tag{31}$$

for every $t > 0$ and for every $x \in M$, [16].

¿From a probabilistic viewpoint, condition (31) states that the diffusion process with transition probabilities $p_f(t, x, y)$ is Markovian, hence stochastically complete. In case $f \equiv 0$, it is known that stochastic completeness with respect to the Brownian motion on $(M, \langle,\rangle)$ is related to L^1 Liouville type properties for super-harmonic functions, [6].

Rephrasing these properties for the operator Δ_f, we say that the L^1 Liouville property for Δ_f-superharmonic functions holds if every Lip_{loc} solution of $\Delta_f u \leq 0$ satisfying $0 \leq u \in L^1\left(M, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}\right)$ must be constant.

Using exactly the same proof as in the case $f \equiv 0$, [6], shows that this is equivalent to the fact that for some, hence for all, $x \in M$,

$$\int_M G_f(x,y)\, e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}(y) = +\infty. \tag{32}$$

Recalling that the Green kernel G_f is related to the heat kernel p_f by the formula

$$G_f\left(x,y\right) = \int_0^{+\infty} p_f\left(t,x,y\right) dt,, \tag{33}$$

from the above circle of ideas one obtains

Theorem 24. *If the weak maximum principle at infinity holds for Δ_f then the L^1 Liouville property for Δ_f-superharmonic functions holds.*

In particular, combining with Theorem 9 we conclude the validity of the next Liouville type property of Ricci soliton.

Theorem 25. *Let $(M, \langle , \rangle, \nabla f)$ be a complete, gradient Ricci soliton. Then the L^1 Liouville property for Δ_f-superharmonic functions holds.*

Remark 26. Since, by Theorem 22, shrinking solitons are f-parabolic, in this situation the same conclusion holds without any integrability assumption on u.

By way of example, we now apply this result to prove the rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons with integrable scalar curvature stated in Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Recall that, by formula (12) of Theorem 7, it holds

$$\Delta_f S = \lambda S - \left|Ric\right|^2, \tag{34}$$

where $\lambda < 0$ is such that

$$Ric + \mathrm{Hess}\left(f\right) = \lambda \left\langle , \right\rangle. \tag{35}$$

Since $S \geq 0$, from the above we deduce

$$\Delta_f S \leq 0. \tag{36}$$

Applying Theorem 25 we obtain that S is constant. Using this information into (34) implies that $Ric \equiv 0$, and the required conclusion follows from Theorem 1 as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 3 □

APPENDIX

In this section we provide a somewhat detailed proof of Theorem 1. Our basic reference for Riemannian geometry is [10]. Notation is that introduced there. Note that our proof generalizes to give a characterization of general model manifolds via second (and third) order differential systems, [13].

We shall use the following density result, [1], [20]. Following Bishop, recall that, given a complete manifold $(M, \langle , \rangle)$ and a reference point $o \in M$, then $p \in cut\,(o)$ is an *ordinary cut point* if there are at least two distinct minimizing geodesics from o to p. Using the infinitesimal Euclidean law of cosines, it is not difficult to show that at an ordinary cut point p the distance function $r\,(x) = \mathrm{dist}_{(M,\langle , \rangle)}\,(x, o)$ is not differentiable, [20].

Theorem 27 (Bishop density result)**.** *Let $(M, \langle , \rangle)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $o \in M$ be a reference point. Then the ordinary cut-points of o are dense in $cut\,(o)$. In particular, if the distance function $r\,(x)$ from o is differentiable on the (punctured) open ball $B_R\,(o) \setminus \{o\}$ then $B_R\,(o) \cap cut\,(o) = \emptyset$.*

Now, let $f \in C^{\infty}\,(M)$ be a solution of

$$\mathrm{Hess}\,(f) = \lambda \, \langle , \rangle \, , \tag{37}$$

for some constant $\lambda \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\lambda > 0$. To simplify the exposition we proceed by steps.

Step 1. We note first that f has a critical point. Indeed, by contradiction, suppose $|\nabla f| \neq 0$ on M. Consider the vector field $X = \nabla f / |\nabla f|$ on M. Clearly, X is complete because $|X| \in L^{\infty}\,(M)$ and $(M, \langle , \rangle)$ is geodesically complete. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ be an integral curve of X, i.e., $X_{\gamma} = \dot{\gamma}$. It is readily verified from equation (37) that, for every vector field Y,

$$\langle D_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} \mathrm{Hess}\,(f)\,(\dot{\gamma}, Y) - \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} \mathrm{Hess}\,(f)\,(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})\,\langle \dot{\gamma}, Y \rangle = 0. \tag{38}$$

Therefore, γ is a geodesic. Evaluating (37) along γ we deduce that the smooth function $y\,(t) = f \circ \gamma\,(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = \lambda.$$

Integrating on $[0, t]$ yields $y'\,(t) = \lambda t + y'\,(0)\,,$ so that $y'\,(t_0) = 0$ where $t_0 = -\lambda^{-1} y'\,(0)$. It follows that

$$0 = y'\,(t_0) = \langle \nabla f\,(\gamma\,(t_0))\,, \dot{\gamma}\,(t_0) \rangle = |\nabla f|\,(t_0) \neq 0, \tag{39}$$

contradiction.

Step 2. Let $o \in M$ be a critical point of ∇f and set $r(x) = \operatorname{dist}_{(M,\langle,\rangle)}(x, o)$. Having fixed $x \in M$, let $\gamma : [0, r(x)] \to M$ be a unit speed, minimizing geodesic issuing from $\gamma(0) = o$. Therefore, $y(t) = f \circ \gamma(t)$ solves the Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dfrac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = \lambda \\ y'(0) = 0, \ y(0) = f(o). \end{array} \right. \tag{40}$$

Integrating on $[0, r(x)]$ we deduce that

$$f(x) = \alpha(r(x)), \tag{41}$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2} t^2 + f(o). \tag{42}$$

In particular, f is a proper function with precisely one critical point.

Step 3. Since $f(x) = \alpha(r(x))$ is smooth and $\alpha(t)$ satisfies $\alpha'(t) \neq 0$ for every $t > 0$, it follows that

$$r(x) = \alpha^{-1}(f(x)) \tag{43}$$

is smooth on $M \backslash \{o\}$. According to Theorem 27 we have $cut(o) = \emptyset$ and the exponential map $\exp_o : T_o M \approx \mathbb{R}^m \to M$ realizes a smooth diffeomorphism. Let us introduce geodesic polar coordinates $(r, \theta) \in (0, +\infty) \times S^{m-1}$ on $T_o M$. Moreover, let us consider a local orthonormal frame $\{E_\alpha\}$ on S^{m-1} with dual frame $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ and extend them radially. Then, by Gauss lemma,

$$\langle,\rangle = dr \otimes dr + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{m-1} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(r, \theta)\, \theta^\alpha \otimes \theta^\beta, \tag{44}$$

where, since the metric is infinitesimally Euclidean,

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(r, \theta) = r^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} + o\left(r^2\right), \text{ as } r \searrow 0. \tag{45}$$

We shall show that

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(r, \theta) = r^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Since $\left([0, +\infty) \times S^{m-1}, dr \otimes dr + r^2 \sum_\alpha \theta^\alpha \otimes \theta^\alpha\right)$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^m$ the proof will be completed.

Step 4. Let $L_{\nabla r}$ denote the Lie derivative in the radial direction ∇r. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = L_{\nabla r} \langle,\rangle (E_\alpha, E_\beta) = 2 \operatorname{Hess}(r)(E_\alpha, E_\beta). \tag{46}$$

On the other hand, in view of (41), $\nabla r = \nabla f / |\nabla f|$. Whence, using equation (37) we deduce that, for every $E_\alpha, E_\beta \in \nabla r^\perp$,

$$\operatorname{Hess}(r)(E_\alpha, E_\beta) = \left\langle D_{E_\alpha} \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}, E_\beta \right\rangle = \frac{1}{r}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{47}$$

Combining (45), (46) and (47) we conclude that the coefficients $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ solve the asymptotic Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial r} = \dfrac{2}{r}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \\ \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(r,\theta) = r^2\delta_{\alpha\beta} + o(r^2), \text{ as } r \searrow 0. \end{cases}$$

Integrating finally gives

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(r,\theta) = r^2\delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

as desired.

References

[1] R. L. Bishop, *Decomposition of cut loci.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1977), 133–136.
[2] H.-D. Cao, D. Zhou *On complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons.* arXiv:0903.3932.
[3] M. Eminenti, G. La Nave, C. Mantegazza, *Ricci solitons: the equation point of view.* Manuscripta Math. **127** (2008), 345–367.
[4] M. Fernández-López, E. García-Río, *A remark on compact Ricci solitons.* Math. Ann. **340** (2008), 893–896.
[5] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1983.
[6] A. Grigor'yan, *Stochastically complete manifolds and summable harmonic functions.* (in Russian) Izv. An SSSR, ser. matem., **52** no. 5 (1988), 1102–1108. Engl. transl. Math. USSR Izvestiya **33** (1989), 425–423.
[7] A. Grigor'yan, *Analytic and geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **36** (1999), 135–249.
[8] F. Morgan, *Manifolds with density.* Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (2005), 853–858.
[9] A. Naber, *Noncompact Shrinking 4-Solitons with Nonnegative Curvature.* arXiv:0710.5579.
[10] P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **171**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
[11] P. Petersen, W. Wylie, *Rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons.* arXiv:0710.3174.
[12] P. Petersen, W. Wylie, *On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons.* arXiv:0712.1298.
[13] S. Pigola, M. Rimoldi, *Characterizations of model manifolds by means of certain differential systems.* Submitted.
[14] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Vanishing and finiteness results in geometric analysis: a generalization of the Bochner technique.* Progress in Mathematics **266** (2008), Birkhäuser.
[15] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Vanishing theorems on Riemannian manifolds, and geometric applications.* J. Funct. Anal. **229** (2005), 424–461.

[16] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Maximum principles on Riemannian manifolds and applications.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **174** (2005), no. 822, x+99 pp.

[17] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Volume growth, "a-priori" estimates, and geometric applications.* G.A.F.A. **13** (2003), 1302–1328.

[18] M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Liouville type theorems for φ-subharmonic functions.* Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **17** (2001), 471–520.

[19] Y. Tashiro, *Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 251–275.

[20] F.-E. Wolter, *Distance function and cut loci on a complete Riemannian manifold.* Arch. Math. (Basel) **32** (1979), 92–96.

[21] G. Wei, W. Wylie, *Comparison Geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci Tensor.* arXiv:0706.1120.

[22] S.T. Yau, *Some function theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **25** (1976), 659–670.

[23] H.-Z. Zhang, *Gradient Shrinking Solitons with Vanishing Weyl Tensor.* arXiv:0807.1582v3.

[24] Z.-H. Zhang, *On the completeness of gradient Ricci solitons.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 8, 2755–2759.

Dipartimento di Fisica e Matematica, Università dell'Insubria - Como, via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, ITALY
E-mail address: `stefano.pigola@uninsubria.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Milano, via Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, ITALY
E-mail address: `michele.rimoldi@unimi.it`

Dipartimento di Fisica e Matematica, Università dell'Insubria - Como, via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, ITALY
E-mail address: `alberto.setti@uninsubria.it`